Phil 15: Knowledge and its Limits

Course Description:

This course will focus on a series of related philosophical issues in the theory of knowledge (epistemology). Topics will include knowledge, justification, perception, testimony, science, skepticism, Non-Western, and social approaches to knowledge. We will consider in particular the nature of knowledge—what knowledge is and what it means to have knowledge. In addition, we will survey those philosophical and scientific methods which purport to secure knowledge.

Required Texts:

All readings will be made available through the TritonEd site for the course.

Course Mechanics:

TA and Discussion Sections: TBA

TritonEd: There is a TritonEd site for the course. All handouts, slides, homework assignments, and supplementary readings (if any) will be made available through this site. It is your responsibility to check this site regularly.

Lectures and discussion: I lecture with slides. The slides will be used to focus discussion and organize complex material; they are not a substitute for the readings. The slides will be made available on TritonEd a few days after lecture. Students are expected to take careful notes and will be held responsible for the material discussed in class not found on the slides or in the readings.

Reading Assignments: Students are expected to read each selection prior to class (preferably more than once). You should take notes while reading, keeping track of questions or issues that arise. You should bring both the reading and the notes/questions to class.
Grading:

There are two short essays, a final exam, and a discussion section grade:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Essay 1 (6 pgs)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Mon, May 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essay 2 (6 pgs)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Friday, June 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6/16, 11:30-2:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Course Policies:

To avoid penalties for late assignments, students must provide official documentation explaining why the assignment could not be handed in on time. Late homework without documentation will not be accepted. Late papers without documentation will receive a late penalty of 1/3 letter grade for each day a paper is late, including weekend days (no exceptions).

**Turnitin**: Students agree that by taking this course all required papers will be subject to submission for textual similarity review to Turnitin.com for the detection of plagiarism (via the TED interface). All submitted papers will be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of such papers. Use of the Turnitin.com service is subject to the terms of use agreement posted on the Turnitin.com site.

**Academic Integrity**: All suspicions of academic misconduct will be reported to the Academic Integrity Office according to university policy. Academic misconduct is not just blatant cheating (e.g., copying off another student during an exam), but includes copying other students’ essays; copying or using old essays; forgetting to cite material you took from an outside resource; turning in work completed in total or in part by another. This is an incomplete list; if you have questions concerning academic misconduct it is your responsibility to ask me for advice.
Tentative Reading Schedule (subject to change):

• **Week 1: The Quest for Certainty**
  M (3rd): Introduction, course mechanics, outline, intro to Russell
  W (5th): Bertrand Russell, The Problems of Philosophy, Chapters 1, 2
  F (7th): Descartes, “Selections from The Meditations”
  Jessica Wilson, “The regress argument against Cartesian skepticism”

• **Week 2: What is Knowledge?**
  M (10th): Roderick Chisholm, “The Problem of the Criterion”
  W (12th): Gettier, “Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?”
  F (14th): Goldman, “A Causal Theory of Knowing”

• **Week 3: Testimony**
  M (17th): NO CLASS
  F (21st): Lackey, Continued

• **Week 4: Contextualism**
  W (26th): DeRose, Continued

• **Week 5: Non-Western Epistemology**
  M (1st): Jonathan Stoltz, “Buddhist Epistemology” First Essay Due
  W (3rd): Stoltz, Continued

• **Week 6: Implicit Bias**
  W (10th): Gendler, Continued

• **Week 7: Epistemology and Decision Making**
  M (15th): L.A. Paul, “What you can’t expect when you’re expecting”
  W (17th): Paul, Continued; Barnes intro
  F (19th): Barnes, “What you can expect when you don’t want to be expecting”
• **Week 8: Epistemology of Perception**  
  M (22nd): James Pryor, “The Skeptic and the Dogmatist”  
  W (24th): Susanna Siegel, “Cognitive Penetrability and Perceptual Justification”  
  F (26th): Siegel, Continued

• **Week 9: Social Epistemology**  
  M (29th): NO CLASS – Memorial Day  
  W (31st): Lorraine Code: “Is the Sex of the Knower Epistemologically Significant?”  
  F (2nd): **SECOND ESSAY DUE**; Code, Continued

• **Week 10: The Future of Epistemology**  
  M (5th): Quine, “Epistemology Naturalized”  
  W (7th): Bishop and Trout, “Strategic Reliability”  
  F (9th): Final Exam Prep