Philosophy 27/Political Science 27: ETHICS AND SOCIETY
Winter 2012

Professor: Sam Rickless
Office: HSS 8009
Office Hours: Fridays 10am-12pm
Phone: (858) 822-4910
E-mail: srickless@ucsd.edu

Teaching Assistants and Sections

Theron Pummer
Sections: W 9am-9:50am, HSS 2154
         M 9am-9:50am, HSS 2150
Office: HSS 7093
Office Hours: M,W 9:55am-10:55am
E-mail: tpummer@ucsd.edu

Matthew Braich
Sections: F 9am-9:50am, WLH 2112
         F 11am-11:50am, HSS 2154
Office: HSS 8088
Office Hours: W 12:30pm-2:30pm
E-mail: mmbraich@ucsd.edu

Blythe Greene
Sections: M 10am-10:50am, HSS 2150
         F 2pm-2:50pm, CSB 005
Office: HSS 7059
Office Hours: W 2:15pm-4:15pm
E-mail: bagreene@ucsd.edu

Jeremy Gomer
Sections: M 1pm-1:50pm, HSS 2150
         W 12pm-12:50pm, HSS 2154
Office: HSS 7059
Office Hours: M 12pm-1pm, W 10am-11am
Email: jgomer@ucsd.edu

Course Website
I may occasionally post handouts, announcements, study questions, and other material on the TED website for the course.

I will let you know in lecture whenever something is posted, but it is a good idea to check the page regularly.

Course Description: In this course we will explore several pressing ethical issues, all of which involve decisions that can make the difference between life and death: euthanasia, abortion, war, and aid to the needy. We will consider whether death can ever be good for a human being, whether killing a human being for her own good is ever morally permissible, whether there is a morally significant difference between killing and letting die (and, more generally, between doing harm and allowing it to occur), whether human fetuses are moral persons, whether abortion is never, sometimes, or always morally permissible, whether killing noncombatants in a just war is ever morally permissible, whether there is a morally significant difference between intending harm and merely foreseeing harm, and whether each of us has a moral obligation to help those in desperate need. The immediate aim of the course is to help you understand and evaluate arguments for and against various answers that might be provided to these questions. The ultimate aim of the course is to help you arrive at your own reasoned, justified, and well-informed position on the relevant issues.
**Discussion Sections**: Discussion sections meet once a week. Sections are designed to give you an opportunity to meet in small groups, to ask questions and have them answered by your TA, and to discuss the course material in greater depth than is possible in lecture. Attendance and participation contribute 10% of your course grade.

**Course Schedule and Readings**: All course readings except for one are available through electronic course reserves at [http://reserves.ucsd.edu](http://reserves.ucsd.edu). One item, which consists of selections for Michael Walzer’s *Just and Unjust Wars*, is available on regular (hardcopy) course reserve at Geisel Library. Items on electronic reserve include both articles and links to newspaper articles, legal decisions, and policy statements. Please find a list of readings below. Additional readings (e.g., up-to-the minute newspaper articles) may be added throughout the course to the online reserves. I will let you know in advance of any new reading assignments. I strongly recommend downloading and printing (and, in the case of the Walzer selections, photocopying) as many articles as you can at the beginning of the course. **You must read the required readings before each relevant lecture.**

1/9    **Introduction**

1/11 **Euthanasia I: A Good Death**  
Readings: Nagel, “Death”  
Foot, “Euthanasia,” pp. 85-96

1/16 **NO LECTURE: MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. DAY**

1/18 **Euthanasia II: Utility**  
Readings: CEJA Report, “Decisions near the End of Life”  
Hooker, “Rule-Utilitarianism and Euthanasia”

1/23 **Euthanasia III: The Doctrine of Doing and Allowing**  
Readings: Rachels, “Active and Passive Euthanasia”  
Tooley, “An Irrelevant Consideration: Killing Versus Letting Die”

1/25 **Euthanasia IV: The Doctrine of Doing and Allowing Revisited**  
Readings: Foot, “Euthanasia,” pp. 96-112

1/30 **MID-TERM EXAMINATION**

2/1 **Abortion I: Against**  
Readings: Marquis, “Why Abortion is Immoral”  
Sinnott-Armstrong, “You Can’t Lose What You Ain’t Never Had: A Reply to Marquis on Abortion”

2/6 **Abortion II: For**  
Readings: Thomson, “A Defense of Abortion”
2/8 Abortion III: Moral Status

2/13 Abortion IV: Law
Readings: Roe v. Wade
[Recommended: Planned Parenthood v. Casey]

2/15 War I: For Noncombatant Immunity
Readings: Walzer, Just and Unjust Wars, pp. 41-47, 138-159, 251-268

2/20 NO LECTURE: PRESIDENTS’ DAY

2/22 PAPER DUE
War II: Against Noncombatant Immunity
Readings: Arneson, “Just Warfare Theory and Noncombatant Immunity”

2/27 War III: The Doctrine of Double Effect
Readings: Foot, “The Problem of Abortion and the Doctrine of Double Effect”
Quinn, “Actions, Intentions, and Consequences: The Doctrine of Double Effect”

2/29 War IV: The Doctrine of Double Effect Revisited
Readings: Fitzpatrick, “The Intend/Foresee Distinction and the Problem of ‘Closeness’”

3/5 Aid to the Needy I: The Singer Challenge
Readings: Singer, “Famine, Affluence, and Morality”

3/7 Aid to the Needy II: The Fairness Response
Readings: Murphy, “The Demands of Beneficence”
Arneson, “Moral Limits on the Demands of Beneficence?” pp. 1-10

3/12 Aid to the Needy III: Moral Responsibility
Readings: Pogge, “Eradicating Systemic Poverty: A Brief for a Global Resources Dividend”

3/14 Conclusion: Rights v. Utility

MONDAY, MARCH 19 FINAL EXAMINATION (11:30am-2:30pm)

Requirements:

- 1 midterm exam (January 30) 20%
- 1 paper (due February 22) 25%
- 1 final exam (March 19) 45%
- Section participation 10%
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Grading Policies:

• Make-up examinations and paper extensions will only be given to those who present
evidence indicative of a valid excuse in a timely manner. If at any time you believe you
have a legitimate claim to an extension or make-up, bring it to my attention as soon as
possible. Unexcused late papers and unexcused missed examinations will receive a grade of
‘F’.

• Grading will be done primarily by your TA, in consultation with me and the other TAs for
the course. If you have any questions or disagreements about the grading of your work,
please see your TA first to discuss the matter. The likelihood is that you will come to a
mutual understanding with your TA; but if, for some reason, you are unable to do so, then
you should feel free to see me.

• A hard copy of your paper (due March 2) must be turned in to your TA and an electronic
copy uploaded to turnitin.com. It is very easy to upload your papers, and instructions will be
included in your assignment.

Additional Notes

• The use of any electronic equipment other than a note-taking device (such as a laptop
computer) during lecture is prohibited. The use of such equipment for any purpose
other than note-taking is also prohibited. Any student who is watching videos, IM’ing,
surfing the web, texting, or engaging in any form of activity that is not directly related
to this course or poses a risk of distracting other students during lecture will be asked
to leave.

• If accommodations are needed for a disability or for religious reasons, please discuss
the matter with me as soon as possible.

• The academic honor code must be observed in this course. Cheating and plagiarism
will not be tolerated. Anyone caught cheating or plagiarizing will receive an automatic
F in the course (not just an F on the relevant assignment) and will be referred to the
Academic Integrity Coordinator and Council of Deans for the heaviest possible
penalty.

• The policies, readings, and schedule described above are subject to change.